arXiv:1201.1392v2 [math.QA] 4 Dec 2012

GLOBALIZING L_∞-AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE SCHOUTEN ALGEBRA OF POLYVECTOR FIELDS

CHRISTINE JOST

Abstract. Recently, Willwacher showed that the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT acts by L_∞-automorphisms on the Schouten algebra of polyvector fields $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on affine space $\mathbb{R}^d$. In this article, we prove that a large class of L_∞-automorphisms on $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, including Willwacher's, can be globalized. That is, given an L_∞-automorphism of $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a general smooth manifold M with the choice of a torsion-free connection, we give an explicit construction of an L_∞-automorphism of the Schouten algebra $T_{\text{poly}}(M)$ on the manifold M, depending on the chosen connection. The method we use is the Fedosov trick.

1. Introduction

The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group is a mysterious group defined by Drinfel'd in his study of associators and deformation of Lie algebras [5]. In recent years, connections to objects studied in deformation quantization have become more and more apparent.

In [14] Willwacher proves among other things that the (graded version of the) Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT acts on the Schouten algebra of polyvector fields $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on affine space. In this article, we extend Willwacher's result to the Schouten algebra of polyvector fields $T_{\text{poly}}(M)$ on a general smooth manifold M. In fact, we prove that any L_∞-automorphism of $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying certain conditions can be globalized. The method we use goes back to Dolgushev's globalization [4] of Kontsevich's deformation quantization [8]. Dolgushev's result in turn uses the famous Fedosov trick [6]. Similar methods have also been used in [3] and [2]. The main result is the following:

Main Theorem. *Let F be an L_∞-automorphism of the Schouten algebra $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on affine space satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *F extends to an L_∞-automorphism of $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\text{formal}})$, the Schouten algebra on the "fat point" $\mathbb{R}^d_{\text{formal}} = \text{Spec}\ \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$.*
2. *The extension of F to $T_{\text{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\text{formal}})$ is invariant under linear change of coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^d_{\text{formal}}$.*
3. *$F_1 = \text{id}$, and for $n \geq 2$, the n-ary part F_n of F vanishes on vector fields. That means $F_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = 0$ for vector fields $v_1, \ldots, v_n$.*
4. *F vanishes if one of the inputs is a vector field that is linear in the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d$. That means $F_n(\gamma_1, \ldots, A^i_j x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \ldots, \gamma_n) = 0$ for arbitrary polyvector fields $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ and a vector field $A^i_j x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$.*

Let M be a smooth manifold with a torsion-free connection. Then the construction described in the proof yields an L_∞-automorphism F^{glob} of the Schouten algebra $T_{\text{poly}}(M)$ on M, depending on F and the chosen connection.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D55, 20B27, 16E45.

Key words and phrases. deformation quantization, polyvector fields, Fedosov quantization, Grothendieck-Teichmüller group.

In [14] Willwacher constructs a Lie algebra isomorphism from the Grothendieck-Teichmüller algebra $\mathfrak{grt}$ to the zeroth cohomology $H^0(\mathrm{GC}_2)$ of Kontsevich's graph complex GC_2. The graph complex in turn acts on the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on affine space by L_∞-automorphisms defined up to homotopy equivalence. Using our main theorem, we prove that these automorphisms can be used to construct L_∞-automorphisms of the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ on any smooth manifold M. The essential detail in Willwacher's work is that any element of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller algebra can be represented by a graph all of whose vertices are at least trivalent. From this fact it follows that Willwacher's automorphisms satisfy the third and forth condition in our main theorem.

Corollary. *The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT acts on the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ on a general smooth manifold M by L_∞-automorphisms defined up to homotopy equivalence. The action depends on the choice of a torsion-free connection on M.*

Note that both the main theorem and its corollary depend on the choice of a connection on M. The ideas in Appendix C of [1] might be used to prove that the homotopy class of the L_∞-automorphism does not depend on the choice of the connection.

We give a short outline of the content of this article: In Section 2, we construct the basic objects used for the globalization, vertical polyvector fields and differential forms with values in them. In Section 3, we construct a non-trivial section of the vector bundle whose sections are differential forms with values in vertical polyvector fields. The construction depends on the choice of a connection on M. This so-called Fedosov trick yields a resolution of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ as a Lie algebra. In Section 4, we prove the main theorem constructing an L_∞-automorphism of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ from an automorphism of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ using the Fedosov resolution. In the last section, we first recall Willwacher's action of GRT on $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and finally prove the corollary, i.e., that this action can be globalized using our main theorem.

We use the Einstein summation convention. The degree of an element f of a graded vector space is denoted by $|f|$.

2. Vertical polyvector fields

In this section, we present the basic constructions needed in this article, namely vertical polyvector fields, differential forms with values in them and the vertical Schouten bracket. The aim is to construct a large vector bundle on a smooth manifold M such that the fiber of each point is isomorphic as a vector space to the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}})$ of the "fat point" $\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}}$, the formal completion of affine space $\mathbb{R}^d$ at the origin. The reason we have to use $\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}}$ instead of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is to ensure convergence of certain recurrence equations later. We will often work with local trivializations of the different vector bundles we consider.

Let M be a d-dimensional smooth real manifold. We start by recalling the definition of the usual Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ of polyvector fields on M. The Schouten algebra is defined as the exterior algebra $\bigoplus_{q=0}^d \bigwedge^q T_M$ of the tangent bundle T_M of M. The usual Lie bracket on vector fields extends by the graded Leibniz rule to an odd graded Lie bracket on the exterior algebra, called Schouten bracket. On a local chart $U \subset M$, the underlying graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(U)$ is isomorphic to $C^\infty(U)[\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_d]$, writing φ_i for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and with the grading $|\varphi_i| = 1$. The Schouten bracket $[-,-]^S$ is on this local chart given by the formula

$$[f,g]^{\mathrm{S}} = (-1)^{|f|}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial \varphi_i} + (-1)^{|f||g|+|g|}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi_i}$$

for $f, g \in T_{\mathrm{poly}}(U)$.

We continue with the definition of vertical polyvector fields. Let TM be the total space of the tangent bundle of M. The projection $\pi : TM \to M$ induces the differential $\mathrm{d}\pi : T_{TM} \to \pi^* T_M$. We are interested in the kernel of $\mathrm{d}\pi$, a vector bundle over TM. To describe it in a more detailed way, we observe that a local chart of TM is isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. It is possible to choose the local coordinate system on TM in such a way that the projection π to M is given by $x^i \mapsto x^i$ and $y^i \mapsto 0$. We obtain a local description of sections of $\ker \mathrm{d}\pi$,

$$\Gamma(U, \ker \mathrm{d}\pi) = \{f^i(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, f^i(x,y) \text{ smooth}\}.$$

Global sections of $\ker \mathrm{d}\pi$ are what is usually called vertical vector fields. However, we will need a slightly different definition allowing formal power series in the ys. Define the $C^\infty(U)[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]]$-module of vertical vector fields on $U \subset M$ by

$$T^{\mathrm{vert}}(U) = \{\sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^d} f^i_I(x) y^I \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, f^i_I(x) \text{ smooth}\}.$$

One checks that these glue together to a $C^\infty(M)$-module $T^{\mathrm{vert}}(M)$. Besides the $C^\infty(M)$-module structure, $T^{\mathrm{vert}}(M)$ also is a $C^\infty(M)[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]]$-module, where $C^\infty(M)[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]]$ is glued together from the rings $C^\infty(U)[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]]$. The $C^\infty(M)$-module of vertical polyvector fields is then defined as the exterior algebra of $T^{\mathrm{vert}}(M)$ over $C^\infty(M)[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]]$, i.e.,

$$T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M) = \textstyle\bigoplus_{q=0}^d \bigwedge^q_{C^\infty(M)[[y^1,\ldots,y^d]]} T^{\mathrm{vert}}(M).$$

Furthermore, differential forms with values in vertical polyvector fields are defined by

$$\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)) = T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Omega M,$$

where ΩM denotes as usual the de Rham algebra of differential forms on M. We work on a local chart U of TM, denoting coordinate functions by $x^1, \ldots, x^d, y^1, \ldots, y^d$ as before. Writing $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} = \psi_i$ and $\mathrm{d}x^i = \eta^i$, differential forms with values in vertical polyvector fields are then elements of

$$C^\infty(U)[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]][\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_d, \eta^1, \ldots, \eta^d].$$

Setting the degrees $|y^i| = 0$ and $|\psi_i| = |\eta^i| = 1$, we obtain a grading on $\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$. Denote by $\Omega^r(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}} M))$ the subspace of elements of degree r. Put in another way, we have

$$\Omega^r(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}} M)) = \textstyle\bigoplus_{p+q=r} T^{\mathrm{vert,p}}_{\mathrm{poly}} \otimes \Gamma(\bigwedge^q(T^*M)),$$

where the elements of $T^{\mathrm{vert,p}}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ have degree p with respect to the $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$. Define the vertical Schouten bracket on $\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ locally by

$$[f,g]^{\mathrm{vert}} = (-1)^{|f|}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_i} + (-1)^{|f||g|+|g|}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_i}$$

for $f, g \in \Omega(U, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(U))$. One checks that the definition is independent on the choice of the local chart. As the Schouten bracket, the vertical Schouten bracket has degree -1 and provides $\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ with the structure of an odd graded Lie algebra.

We also introduce the sub-$C^\infty(M)$-module $T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M)$ of vertical polyvector fields which are constant with respect to the y. On a local chart U, we have that $T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(U)$ is isomorphic as an $C^\infty(U)$-module to $C^\infty(U)[\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_d]$. One sees easily that $T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M)$ and $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ are isomorphic as $C^\infty(M)$-modules.

We conclude this section with the first lemma on vertical polyvector field, showing that $\Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ is a resolution of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ as a $C^\infty(M)$-module. Define

$$\delta : \Omega^r(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)) \to \Omega^{r+1}(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$$

locally by $\delta = \mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$. Furthermore, define a contracting homotopy

$$\delta^* : \Omega^r(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)) \to \Omega^{r-1}(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$$

by

$$\delta^*(f_{I,J}(x,\psi)y^I\eta^J) = \frac{1}{p+q} y^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^a} f(x,\psi)y^I\eta^J,$$

where I and J are multi-indices of total degree p and q, respectively. For $f = f(x,\psi)y^0\eta^0$, we set $\delta^*(f) = 0$. Furthermore, define the projection

$$\sigma : \Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)) \to T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M) \subset \Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$$

locally by $\sigma(\eta^i) = \sigma(y^i) = 0$ and $\sigma(\psi_i) = \psi_i$.

Lemma 1. *For any $f \in \Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$, it holds that*

$$f = \sigma f + \delta\delta^* f + \delta^*\delta f, \tag{1}$$

hence

(i) $H^n(\Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)),\delta)) = 0$ *for* $n \neq 0$,
(ii) $H^0(\Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)),\delta) \cong T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$.

Proof. Equation (1) is easy to check. The remainder of the lemma follows because $T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M)$ and $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ are isomorphic as $C^\infty(M)$-modules. ☐

3. The Fedosov resolution

It is possible to see $\Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ as sections of a vector bundle over the total space of a vector bundle whose sections form $T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M)$. In the previous lemma, we have showed that the zero section of that bundle yields a resolution of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M) \cong T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M)$ as a $C^\infty(M)$-module. Now we will construct a very nontrivial section that yields a resolution of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ as a Lie algebra. It is called the Fedosov resolution, as it uses the Fedosov trick [6] of using a differential depending on the choice of a torsion-free connection. Besides Fedosov's work, we also rely very much on Dolgushev's [4]. The first two lemmas are taken directly from [4] and are included mostly for self-containedness.

We will work on a local chart of M from now on. All local formulas are independent of the choice of the chart, if not said otherwise.

Choose a torsion-free connection on the manifold M and denote its Christoffel symbols by $\Gamma^k_{ij}(x)$. Define a derivation ∇ on $\Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ as $\nabla = d + [\Gamma,-]^{\mathrm{vert}}$, where $d = \mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $\Gamma = -\mathrm{d}x^i \Gamma^k_{ij}(x) y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$. This derivation is not a differential. However, it holds that

- $\nabla^2 = [R,-]^{\mathrm{vert}}$, where $R = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j R^l_{kij}(x) y^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}$ is given by the Riemann curvature tensor of the connection, and
- $\delta\nabla + \nabla\delta = 0$.

Lemma 2. *There exists an element A in $\Omega(M,T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ such that $\delta^* A = 0$ and*

$$D := \nabla - \delta + [A,-]^{\mathrm{vert}}$$

is a differential, where A has the form $A = \sum_{p=2}^\infty \mathrm{d}x^k A^j_{k,i_1\ldots i_p}(x) y^{i_1}\ldots y^{i_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$.

This and the following lemma use the same technique of proof, which we explain in detail at first and use in a more sketchy way later. The main idea is to use the contracting homotopy equation (1) to produce a recursive equation.

Proof. We have to show that $D^2 = 0$ or, equivalently, that

$$R + \nabla A + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]^{\mathrm{vert}} = \delta A. \tag{2}$$

As we are looking for an A such that $\delta^* A = \sigma A = 0$, we insert these identities into the contracting homotopy equation (1) and get that A should suffice $A = \delta^* \delta A$. Inserting the left hand side of (2) for δA yields the following recursion formula for A:

$$A = \delta^* R + \delta^*(\nabla A + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]^{\mathrm{vert}}).$$

The recursion converges because δ^* increases the degree in the ys.

We still have to prove that A actually satisfies $C := R + \nabla A + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]^{\mathrm{vert}} - \delta A = 0$. Observe that it follows from the Bianchi identities for the Riemann curvature tensor that $\delta R = \nabla R = 0$. Using this, we get that $\delta C = \nabla C + [A, C]^{\mathrm{vert}}$. Furthermore, it holds that $\sigma C = \delta^* C = 0$. Inserting these equations for δC, $\delta^* C$ and σC into the contracting homotopy equation (1), we get the recursive equation

$$C = \delta^*(\nabla C + [A, C]^{\mathrm{vert}}).$$

As δ^* increases the degree in the ys, this equation has the unique solution $C = 0$, which concludes the proof. ☐

We are now ready to show that the differential D that we have just constructed still yields a resolution of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ as a $C^\infty(M)$-module.

Lemma 3. (i) *$H^n(\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)), D) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$*

(ii) *$H^0(\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)), D) \cong T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$*

Proof. We start by proving part (i). Let f be a cocycle of degree ≥ 1, i.e., $f \in \Omega^r(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$, $r \geq 1$, $Df = 0$. We need to find a $g \in \Omega^{r-1}(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ such that g lies the image of f, i.e., $Dg = f$. We restrict our search to such g that satisfy $\sigma g = \delta^* g = 0$. For these g it holds that $f = Dg = \nabla g - \delta g + [A, g]^{\mathrm{vert}}$. Furthermore, by the contracting homotopy equation (1), it holds that $g = \delta^* \delta g$. Inserting, we get the recursive equation

$$g = -\delta^* f + \delta^*(\nabla g + [A, g]^{\mathrm{vert}}).$$

The convergence follows as usual from the fact that δ^* increases the degree in the ys.

We show that in fact $h := Dg - f = 0$. One sees that $\delta^* h = \sigma h = 0$ and $Dh = 0$. Hence we get the same recursion equation as for C in the foregoing lemma,

$$h = \delta^*(\nabla h + [A, h]^{\mathrm{vert}}),$$

which has the unique solution $h = 0$.

Now we prove part (ii). The aim is to find a suitable section $\tau : T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M) \to \Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$. Let $f_0 \in T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}|_{y=0}(M)$, find a unique $f \in \Omega^0(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ such that $Df = 0$ and $\sigma f = f_0$. As f has degree 0 it follows that $\delta^* f = 0$. Together with $Df = 0$ this yields the recursive equation

$$f = f_0 + \delta^*(\nabla f + [A, f]^{\mathrm{vert}})$$

with convergence as usual.

We have to show that actually $u := Df = 0$. As $\sigma u = \delta^* u = 0$ and $Du = 0$, this follows in the same way as for g in the first part of the proof. ☐

As final step for the Fedosov resolution, we prove now that the Fedosov resolution of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ indeed is a resolution as Lie algebra.

Lemma 4. *The induced Lie algebra structure on $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ induced by the vertical Schouten bracket on $\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ is isomorphic to the Schouten bracket.*

Proof. To simplify notation, we will identify $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ and $T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}|_{y=0}(M)$ in this proof. Especially, we will write σ for the composition

$$\Omega(M, T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M)) \xrightarrow{\sigma} T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M)|_{y=0} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$$

and τ for the composition

$$\Omega(M, T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M)) \xleftarrow{\tau} T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M)|_{y=0} \xleftarrow{\sim} T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M).$$

We have to show that $\tau[f_0, g_0]^S = [\tau f_0, \tau g_0]^{\mathrm{vert}}$ for $f_0, g_0 \in T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$. By the definition of τ in the proof of the previous lemma, this is equivalent to showing that $[\sigma f, \sigma g]^S = \sigma[f, g]^{\mathrm{vert}}$ for $f, g \in \Omega^0(M, T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M))$ such that $Df = Dg = 0$.

From $Df = 0$ it follows that

$$\mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i} = \mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} - \mathrm{d}x^i \Gamma_{ij}^k(x)\psi_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_j} + \mathrm{d}x^i(\text{terms containing } y).$$

Hence, using that f lies in $\Omega^0(M, T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M))$, we have $\sigma(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i}) = \sigma(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}) - \sigma(\Gamma_{ij}^k(x)\psi_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_j})$. From the explicit formula for the vertical Schouten bracket, we obtain

$$\sigma[f, g]^{\mathrm{vert}} = [\sigma f, \sigma g]^S - \sigma S,$$

where

$$S = (-1)^{|f|}\Gamma_{ij}^k(x)\psi_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_j}\frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_i} + (-1)^{|f||g|+|g|}\Gamma_{ij}^k(x)\psi_k \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_j}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_i},$$

which is zero because $\Gamma_{ij}^k(x)$ is symmetric in the lower indices. This proves the claim. □

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we describe a construction that globalizes L_∞-automorphisms of the Schouten algebra if they satisfy certain conditions. The construction depends on the choice of a torsion-free connection on the manifold M. The theorem and its proof are analogous to Proposition 3 in Dolgushev's article [4].

Main Theorem. *Let F be an L_∞-automorphism of the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on affine space satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *F extends to an L_∞-automorphism of $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}})$, the Schouten algebra on the "fat point" $\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}} = \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$.*
2. *The extension of F to $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}})$ is invariant under linear change of coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}}$.*
3. *$F_1 = \mathrm{id}$, and for $n \geq 2$, the n-ary part F_n of F vanishes on vector fields. That means $F_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = 0$ for vector fields $v_1, \ldots, v_n$.*
4. *F vanishes if one of the inputs is a vector field that is linear in the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d$. That means $F_n(\gamma_1, \ldots, A^i_j x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \ldots, \gamma_n) = 0$ for arbitrary polyvector fields $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ and a vector field $A^i_j x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$.*

Let M be a smooth manifold and choose a torsion-free connection on M. Then the construction below yields an L_∞-automorphism F^{glob} of the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ on M, depending on F and the chosen connection.

The construction of the global L_∞-morphism consists of three steps. At first, we construct an L_∞-automorphism of $\Omega(M, T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M))$. In the second step, we twist this morphism with a suitable Maurer-Cartan element to yield an L_∞-morphism of $\Omega(M, T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M))$ commuting with the differential D. In the third step, this will induce an L_∞-automorphism on $H^0(\Omega(M, T_{\mathrm{poly}}^{\mathrm{vert}}(M))) \cong T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$.

First step. Choose an open chart U of the manifold M such that $\Omega(U, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(U))$ trivializes to

$$C^\infty(U)[[y^1, \dots, y^d]][\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^d],$$

as described in section 2. Because of condition (1), there is an extension of F to an L_∞-automorphism F^{formal} of

$$T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}}) \cong \mathbb{R}[[x^1, \dots, x^d]][\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d] \cong \mathbb{R}[[y^1, \dots, y^d]][\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d].$$

Any element of $\Omega(U, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(U))$ can be written as a (possibly infinite) linear combination

$$\sum_{I,J \in \mathbb{N}^d} f(x^1, \dots, x^d, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^d) y^I \psi_J,$$

where $f \in C^\infty(U)[\eta^1, \dots, \eta^d]$. By $C^\infty(U)[\eta^1, \dots, \eta^d]$-linear continuation, F^{formal} induces an L_∞-automorphism $F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U$ of $\Omega(U, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(U))$. By condition (2), the construction is independent of the choice of U. Hence it yields an L_∞-automorphism F^{vert} of $\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ with the vertical Schouten bracket.

Second step. By construction, the automorphism F^{vert} commutes with the differential $d = \mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. In general, however, it does not commute with

$$D = d + [\Gamma - \mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + A, -]^{\mathrm{vert}}.$$

We denote $\Gamma - \mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + A$ by B and obtain the compact description $D = d + [B, -]^{\mathrm{vert}}$.

Before continuing with the proof, we recall some well-known facts about Maurer-Cartan elements. Let

$$\Phi : (\mathfrak{g}, [-,-]_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to (\mathfrak{g}', [-,-]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, d_{\mathfrak{g}'})$$

be an L_∞-morphism of dg-Lie algebras and π a Maurer-Cartan element of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\pi' = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i!} \Phi_i(\pi^i)$ is a Maurer-Cartan element of $\mathfrak{g}'$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}_\pi = (\mathfrak{g}, [-,-]_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\pi, -]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\mathfrak{g}'_{\pi'} = (\mathfrak{g}', [-,-]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, d_{\mathfrak{g}'} + [\pi', -]_{\mathfrak{g}'})$ are dg-Lie algebras. Furthermore, it holds that $\Phi_\pi = \exp(-\pi') \circ \Phi \circ \exp(\pi)$ is an L_∞-morphism from $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ to $\mathfrak{g}'_{\pi'}$, where $\exp(\pi)(T) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{1}{i!} \pi^i \cdot T$ and $\exp(-\pi')$ is defined analogously.

We apply this construction to our situation, where $F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U$ is an L_∞-automorphism of $(\Omega(U, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(U)), [-,-]^{\mathrm{vert}}, d)$ and B is a Maurer-Cartan element. From condition (3), it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i!} (F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U)_i(B^i) = B$, as B is a vertical vector field. Hence it follows that $(F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U)_B = \exp(-B) \circ F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U \circ \exp(B)$ is an L_∞-automorphism of $(\Omega(U, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(U)), [-,-]^{\mathrm{vert}}, D)$, because $D = d + [B, -]^{\mathrm{vert}}$.

We have worked on the local chart U so far, as the formula for B is dependent on the choice of local coordinates. It will become clear, however, that the definition of $(F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U)_B$ is not. We analyze how B transforms under change of coordinates. The terms $\mathrm{d}x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ and A are invariant under change of coordinates. The transformation of Γ is more complicated due to the presence of the Christoffel symbols. We compute that B transforms as $B' = B + \mathrm{d}x^i H^k_{ij}(x) y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$ for some $H^k_{ij}(x)$, where the exact form of $H^k_{ij}(x)$ is not important.[1] We take a closer look at the explicit formula for $(F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U)_B$. It holds that $(F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U)_{B,n} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i!} (F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U)_{n+i}(B^i -)$. However, $F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U$ is zero on any summand of the form $\mathrm{d}x^i H^k_{ij}(x) y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$ by condition (4). Hence the definition of $(F^{\mathrm{vert}}|_U)_B$ is independent of the choice of U and thus glues to an L_∞-automorphisms $F^{\mathrm{vert},D}$ of $\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$ commuting with D.

---

[1] This step is taken directly from [4], see Equation (58).

Third step. As $F^{\mathrm{vert},D}$ constructed in the last step commutes with the differential D of $\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))$, it induces an L_∞-automorphism on cohomology. As $H^0(\Omega(M, T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{\mathrm{poly}}(M))) \cong T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$, it hence follows that this is an L_∞-automorphism F^{glob} on $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ depending on F and the choice of a connection from which the differential D is constructed. This is the last step of the construction of the global L_∞-automorphism F^{glob}. As we have checked that each step of the construction works if the given automorphism F satisfies the conditions given in the theorem, this also concludes the proof of the main theorem.

5. Proof of the corollary

We start by stating the theorem of Willwacher's that we use.

Theorem 1. *(Willwacher [14]) The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT acts on the Schouten algebra $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on affine space by L_∞-automorphisms.*

As we will need some details from the proof of this theorem later, we include the proof here. The way we present it here is analogous to Section 3 in [12]. We start by recalling the definitions and constructions needed for the proof: the Lie algebra associated to an operad, Kontsevich's graph complex, and the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an operad with partial composition $\circ_i$. Following [7], define a Lie algebra structure on the graded vector space $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(n)$ by

$$[\mu, \nu] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu \circ_i \nu - (-1)^{|\mu||\nu|} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu \circ_i \mu$$

for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(m)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. This Lie bracket induces a Lie algebra structure on the space of $\mathbb{S}$-coinvariants $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(k)_{S_k}$. As we work in characteristic 0, the space of $\mathbb{S}$-coinvariants and the space of $\mathbb{S}$-invariants are isomorphic as vector spaces. By this isomorphism, the Lie bracket on $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(n)$ also induces a Lie algebra structure on the space of $\mathbb{S}$-invariants $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(k)^{S_k}$.

We continue by defining the operad Gra and graph complex GC_2. Let $\mathrm{gra}_{n,k}$ be the set of all undirected graphs with n numbered vertices and k numbered edges. The symmetric group S_k acts on $\mathrm{gra}_{n,k}$ by renumbering of the edges. Let sgn_k be the sign representation of S_k. Then define

$$\mathsf{Gra}(n) = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \left(\mathbb{R}\langle \mathrm{gra}_{n,k}\rangle \otimes_{S_k} \mathrm{sgn}_k\right)[k].$$

The vector spaces $\mathsf{Gra}(n)$ admit an action of S_n by renumbering of the vertices. Furthermore, there exists a partial composition product: For Γ_1 in $\mathsf{Gra}(m)$ and Γ_2 in $\mathsf{Gra}(n)$ define $\Gamma_1 \circ_i \Gamma_2$ in $\mathsf{Gra}(m+n-1)$ by inserting Γ_2 for the i-th vertex of Γ_1 and then summing up all possible reconnections to Γ_2 of edges previously connected to the i-th vertex of Γ_1. The edges are renumbered in a way that puts the edges of Γ_2 at the end while otherwise keeping the ordering of the edges. For details of the definition, we refer to [14] and [12]. With the $\mathbb{S}$-action and partial composition just defined, Gra is an operad.

The $\mathbb{S}$-invariants of Gra can be seen as graphs with "unidentifiable", i.e., no longer numbered, vertices. As explained above, the vector space of $\mathbb{S}$-invariants obtains the structure of a Lie algebra, as does the shifted space $\mathrm{Gra}\{-2\}^{\mathbb{S}}$, where $\mathrm{Gra}\{-2\}(n) = \mathrm{Gra}(n)[2-2n]$. Willwacher denotes this Lie algebra $\mathrm{Gra}\{-2\}^{\mathbb{S}}$ of graphs with unidentifiable vertices by fGC_2. The subset of graphs whose vertices are at least trivalent forms a sub-Lie algebra denoted by GC_2. One checks that the graph $\bullet\!-\!\bullet \in \mathrm{fGC}_2$ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation $[\bullet\!-\!\bullet, \bullet\!-\!\bullet] = 0$. Hence it equips fGC_2 with the differential $[\bullet\!-\!\bullet, -]$. Furthermore, as proved in e.g. [14], GC_2 is closed with respect to the differential. We have hence constructed a differential graded Lie algebra $(\mathrm{GC}_2, [-,-], [\overset{\bullet}{\underset{\bullet}{|}}, -])$. Willwacher's main result in [14] is that the zeroth cohomology of this complex is isomorphic as a Lie algebra to the Grothendieck-Teichmüller algebra: $H^0(\mathrm{GC}_2) \cong \mathfrak{grt}$.

Let now $\mathfrak{g}$ be a graded vector space. We define $\mathrm{CE}(\mathfrak{g})$, the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of $\mathfrak{g}$. Its cochains are given by

$$\mathrm{CE}(\mathfrak{g}) = \prod_n \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sym}^n \mathfrak{g}[1], \mathfrak{g}[1]) \cong \prod_n \mathrm{Hom}(\textstyle\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})[1-n] \cong \mathrm{CoDer}(\mathrm{Sym}\ \mathfrak{g}[1]),$$

where Sym denotes the symmetric algebra and CoDer the space of coderivations. The Chevalley-Eilenberg cochains form a graded Lie algebra with the graded Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket $[-,-]_{\mathrm{NR}}$. It is defined by all possible insertions of homomorphisms into each other, see [13] and [9]. Observe that a 1-cochain $\pi_\mathfrak{g} \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(\mathfrak{g}\wedge\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ in the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if it satisfies the equation $[\pi_\mathfrak{g}, \pi_\mathfrak{g}]_{\mathrm{NR}}$. Furthermore, any Lie bracket $\pi_\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ yields a differential $d_{\pi_\mathfrak{g}} = [\pi_\mathfrak{g}, -]_{\mathrm{NR}}$ on $\mathrm{CE}(\mathfrak{g})$. This cochain complex with the new differential $d_{\pi_\mathfrak{g}}$ is called the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \pi_\mathfrak{g})$.

We continue by stating some well-known facts that are treated in more detail in e.g. [11], [15] or the book [10]. Given a zero-cocycle γ, i.e., $\gamma \in \mathrm{CE}^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{CoDer}^0(\mathrm{Sym}\ \mathfrak{g}[1])$ and $d_{\pi_\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma) = 0$, its exponential $\exp(\gamma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\gamma^n$ is an L_∞-automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Call zero-cocycles γ, γ' gauge-equivalent if $\gamma - \gamma'$ is a coboundary. A well-known theorem states that if γ and γ' are gauge-equivalent then $\exp(\gamma)$ and $\exp(\gamma')$ are homotopy-equivalent. Hence the zero-cohomology $H^0(\mathrm{CE}(\mathfrak{g}), d_{\pi_\mathfrak{g}})$ of the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \pi_\mathfrak{g})$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by L_∞-automorphisms modulo homotopy equivalence.

Proof of Theorem 1. We start with an outline of the proof. In [14], Willwacher shows that the Grothendieck-Teichmüller algebra $\mathfrak{grt}$ is isomorphic as a Lie algebra to the zeroth cohomology $H^0(\mathrm{GC}_2)$ of the Kontsevich graph complex GC_2. Furthermore, as we have stated above, $H^0\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$ acts on $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1]$ by L_∞-automorphisms modulo homotopy equivalence. Hence it suffices to prove that there is a morphism of Lie algebras $H^0(\mathrm{GC}_2) \to H^0(\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$, because it will follow that $\mathfrak{grt} \cong H^0(\mathrm{GC}_2)$ acts on $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1]$ by L_∞-automorphisms modulo homotopy equivalence. Observe that we have to shift $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by 1 to turn the odd Lie algebra into a usual graded Lie algebra.

The plan is to construct a Lie algebra morphism

$$\mathrm{GC}_2 \to \mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$$

commuting with the differentials $[\overset{\bullet}{\underset{\bullet}{|}}, -]$ of GC_2 and $[\pi_S, -]_{\mathrm{NR}}$ of $\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$, where π_S denotes the shifted Schouten bracket on $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1]$. Recall that GC_2 is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra fGC_2 of $\mathbb{S}$-invariants of the operad Gra. We will identify $\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$ with the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{S}$-invariants of another operad, $\mathrm{End}_{T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[2]}$. An operad morphism between the two operads will induce a Lie algebra morphism between fGC_2 and $\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$, which restricts to GC_2. This Lie algebra morphism will not commute with the differentials, but the standard trick of twisting with a Maurer-Cartan element will remedy that. As said before, the existence of such a morphism proves the theorem.

The endomorphism operad End_A of a graded vector space A is defined by $\mathrm{End}_A(n) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$, where partial composition is the insertion of endomorphisms into each other. The Lie algebra of $\mathbb{S}$-invariants of End_A is the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex $\mathrm{CE}(A[-1])$ of $A[-1]$ with the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket. Hence $\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{S}$-invariants of $\mathrm{End}_{T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[2]}$.

We construct an operad map $\mathsf{Gra} \to \mathrm{End}_{T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[2]}$ by mapping a graph Γ to the endomorphism

$$\Phi_\Gamma(\gamma_1[2], \ldots, \gamma_n[2]) = \left(\mu \circ \prod_{(i,j)\in E(\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \Delta_{(i,j)}(\gamma_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \gamma_n)\right)[2], \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta_{(i,j)}$ is defined by

$$\Delta_{(i,j)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k_{(j)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^{(i)}_k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^{(j)}_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k_{(i)}}.$$

Here, μ is multiplication of polyvector fields, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k_{(j)}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^{(j)}_k}$ are differentiation with respect to x^k or ψ_k, respectively, in the j-th argument, and $\prod$ is concatenation of differential operators, where the order follows the ordering of the edges. One checks that the map in fact respects operadic composition. Hence it induces a Lie algebra morphism $\mathrm{fGC}_2 \to \mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$ which restricts to the subalgebra GC_2.

Recall that $\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$ is equipped with the differential $[\pi_S, -]_{\mathrm{NR}}$, where π_S denotes the shifted Schouten bracket, and GC_2 with the differential $[\bullet\!\!-\!\!\bullet, -]$. The just constructed Lie algebra morphism $\mathrm{GC}_2 \to \mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$ does not repect these differentials, only the zero differential. However, it maps the Maurer-Cartan element $\bullet\!\!-\!\!\bullet$ to the Schouten bracket. Hence twisting the morphism with $\bullet\!\!-\!\!\bullet$ yields a dg-Lie algebra morphism compatible with both differentials. This concludes the proof. □

Corollary. *The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT acts on the Schouten algebra* $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(M)$ *on a general smooth manifold* M *by* L_∞*-automorphisms defined up to homotopy equivalence. The action depends on the choice of a torsion-free connection on* M*.*

Proof. We need to check that the L_∞-automorphisms in the image of the morphism

$$\mathfrak{grt} \cong H^0(\mathrm{GC}_2) \to H^0(\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1]) \to \mathrm{Aut}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])/\sim$$

satisfy the four conditions of the main theorem. Recall that we construct a morphism from the graph complex GC_2 to the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex $\mathrm{CE}(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$. The image in $\mathrm{CE}^0(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[1])$ of a degree zero element $\Gamma \in \mathrm{GC}_2$ is a degree zero map $\Psi_\Gamma : \mathrm{Sym}^n(T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[2]) \to T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)[2]$. We have to show that its exponential satisfies the four conditions of the main theorem. Observe that it suffices to show that Ψ_Γ satisfies the conditions. The important fact is that the graphs in GC_2 have the property that each vertex is at least trivalent.

Condition 1. The formula (3) works for $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ in both $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}})$. It follows that the whole construction of Ψ_Γ runs through for $T_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}})$ as well.

Condition 2. Because $\Delta_{(i,j)}$ is invariant under linear change of coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathrm{formal}}$, this also holds for Φ_Γ and Ψ_Γ.

Condition 3. It suffices to show that Φ_Γ as defined in (3) vanishes on vector fields for any graph $\Gamma \in \mathsf{Gra}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ the set of all directed graphs that can be obtained by giving a direction to each edge in Γ. We can then rewrite the definition of Φ_Γ as

$$\Phi_\Gamma(\gamma_1[2], \ldots, \gamma_n[2]) = \left(\mu \circ \sum_{\Gamma' \in \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)} \prod_{(i,j)\in E(\Gamma')} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k_{(j)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^{(i)}_k}\right)(\gamma_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \gamma_n)\right)[2].$$

As the vertices of Γ are at least trivalent, for any directed Γ' in $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ there is a vertex l that has at least two outgoing edges. This means that we differentiate γ_l

at least twice with respect to ψ. As γ_l is a vector field, this is zero. Hence the summand belonging to Γ' is zero as well. As we can find such a vertex for any choice of Γ', it follows that Φ_Γ is zero on vector fields.

Condition 4. It suffices to show that Φ_Γ is zero if one of the inputs is a vector field that is linear in the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We use the same rewriting of the definition of Φ_Γ as before. Pick a Γ' in $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ and assume γ_l is a vector field linear in the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^d$. As the vertex l is at least trivalent, it has at least two outgoing edges or at least two ingoing edges. Hence we differentiate the vector fields γ_l at least twice with respect to the x or with respect to the ψ, both of which yields zero. It follows that the summand belonging to this Γ' is zero. As this can be shown for any choice of Γ', we have showed that Φ_Γ is zero if one of the inputs is a vector field that is linear in the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d$. ☐

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Sergei Merkulov for proposing this problem to me and for much help. I also had the honor of getting help from Torsten Ekedahl. The anonymous referee contributed with very helpful advice, especially the remark how the dependence on the choice of a connection might be removed. Finally, thanks to my fellow students Johan Alm, Theo Backman, Johan Granåker and Henrik Strohmayer for all the discussions on the many questions I had.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bursztyn, V. Dolgushev, and S. Waldmann, *Morita equivalence and characteristic classes of star products*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **662** (2012), 95–163.
2. A. S. Cattaneo, G. Felder, and L. Tomassini, *From local to global deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Duke Mathematical Journal **115** (2002), no. 2, 329–352.
3. A.S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, *On the globalization of Kontsevich's star product and the perturbative Poisson sigma model*, Progress of Theoretical Physics. Supplement **144** (2001), 38–53.
4. V.A. Dolgushev, *Covariant and equivariant formality theorems*, Advances in Mathematics **191** (2005), no. 1, 147–177.
5. V.G. Drinfel'd, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, Leningrad Mathematical Journal **2** (1991), no. 4, 829–860.
6. B.V. Fedosov, *A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization*, Journal of Differential Geometry **40** (1994), no. 2, 213–238.
7. M. Kapranov and Y. Manin, *Modules and Morita theorem for operads*, American Journal of Mathematics **123** (2001), 811–838.
8. M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216.
9. P.A.B. Lecomte, P.W. Michor, and H. Schicketanz, *The multigraded Nijenhuis-Richardson algebra, its universal property and applications*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **77** (1992), no. 1, 87–102.
10. J.L. Loday and B. Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Springer, 2012.
11. M. Manetti, *Deformation theory via differential graded Lie algebras*, Seminari di geometria algebrica 1998-1999, Scuola Normale Superiore (1999).
12. S. Merkulov and T. Willwacher, *Grothendieck-Teichmüller and Batalin-Vilkovisky*, (2010), arXiv:1012.2467v1 [math.QA].
13. A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, *Deformations of Lie algebra structures*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics **17** (1967), no. 1, 89–105.
14. T. Willwacher, *M. Kontsevich's graph complex and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra*, (2010), arXiv:1009.1654v2 [math.QA].
15. A. Yekutieli, *Continuous and twisted* L_∞ *morphisms*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **207** (2006), no. 3, 575–606.

STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SE-106 91 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `jost@math.su.se`
URL: `http://www.math.su.se/~jost`